

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ANDREY KRYLUK, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	NO. 14-3198
NORTHLAND GROUP, INC, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 24th day of November, 2014, upon consideration of (1) Defendant Northland Group, Inc., et al.'s Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Docket No. 8), Plaintiff Andrey Kryluk's Response (Docket No. 12), and Defendant's Reply Brief (Docket No. 15); and (2) Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (Docket No. 13) and Defendant's Response (Docket No. 14), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED** and the Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** in its entirety;
2. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint is **GRANTED**. Within fourteen (14) days from the date of this Order, Plaintiff shall file a Second Amended Complaint that eliminates all allegations related to the claims dismissed with prejudice from his Amended Complaint, and sets forth only allegations relating to Plaintiff's claim under § 1692f(8) and the Third Circuit's ruling in Douglass, et al. v. Convergent Outsourcing, __ F.3d __, 2014 WL 4235570 (3d Cir. August 28, 2014).

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Ronald L. Buckwalter
RONALD L. BUCKWALTER, S.J.